

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 8668	
10/020,762	12/12/2001	David Teegarden	83825HEC		
75	90 07/25/2003			•	
Paul A. Leipold			EXAMINER		
Patent Legal Sta Eastman Kodak		HESS, BRUCE H			
343 State Street		•			
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1774	. 7	
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003	ح	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A	9.	-3

Office Action Summone

Application No. Applicant(s) Teegarden et al.

	Action Summary	Bevee	Hess	Group Art Unit	
-Th MAILING	DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sh	eet beneath th co	orrespondence add	iress—
Period for Reply			_		
A SHORTENED STATU OF THIS COMMUNICA	JTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO ATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S	5) FROM THE MAIL	ING DATE
from the mailing date of a lifthe period for reply significant for reply is a Failure to reply within the	by be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 of this communication. Specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reput is specified above, such period shall, by default, of the set or extended period for reply will, by statution the Office later than three months after the mailing 37 CFR 1.704(b).	oly within the statuto expire SIX (6) MON tte, cause the applic	ory minimum of thirty (3 THS from the mailing d cation to become ABAN	30) days will be conside date of this communicat NDONED (35 U.S.C. & 1	ered timely. tion. 133).
Status	12 - 12 -	01 (ID	<1		
Responsive to cor	mmunication(s) filed on	01 (22	<u></u>		·
☐ This action is FINA	AL.		,		
☐ Since this applicat accordance with t	tion is in condition for allowance except fo the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle,</i> 1935 (or formal matters C.D. 1 1; 453 O.(s, prosecution as t 3. 213.	to the merits is clo	sed in
Disposition of Claims	1 115				
Claim(s)	1-14		is/are r	ending in the applic	cation.
	n(s)				
☐ Claim(s)		·	is/are a	illowed.	
5 Claim(s)	1-14	+	is/are r	ejected.	
				•	election
Application Papers			require	ment	
	awing correction, filed on		• •	ed.	
☐ The drawing(s) file	ed on is/are objecte	d to by the Exar	niner		
☐ The specification is	is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declar	ration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.(C. § 119 (a)–(d)				
	t is made of a claim for foreign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 1	119 (a)–(ď).		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ N			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•
☐ Certified copies	s of the priority documents have been rec	eived.			
□ Certified copies	s of the priority documents have been rec	eived in Applica	tion No	<u> </u>	
□ Copies of the c	certified copies of the priority documents I	have been receiv	ved		
in this national	stage application from the International E	3ureau (PCT Rule	e 17.2(a))		
*Certified copies not r	received:	<u> </u>			- •
Atta hment(s)	,	nat .			
Information Disclo	sure Stat m nt(s), PTO-1449, Paper N (s	s)	☐ Int rview Summ	mary, PTO-413	
Notice of R ference				mal Patent Application	on. PTO-152
	erson's Pat int Drawing Review, PTO-948				
·	Office Act	i n Summary			

Application/Control Number: 10/020,762

Art Unit: 1774

- 1. Claims 1, 3, 6, 7 and 11 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by the patent to Ohbayashi et al. (U.S.P. 6,492,005; see column 13, lines 57-62; column 14, lines 3 and 4; column 15, line 1-3; column 17, lines 64-67; column 19, lines 6-9, 56 and 57; and column 20, lines 63-67).
- 2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the patent to Ohbayashi et al.

Ohbayashi et al. teach an ink jet recording element comprising a support and an image receiving layer comprising alumina particles having their surfaces treated with a silane coupling agent having a hydrophilic, organic moiety. The experimental modification of this prior art in order to ascertain optimum operating conditions (e.g., determine compositional proportions, layer thicknesses and particle sizes) fails to render applicants; claims patentable in the absence of unexpected results.

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-14 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of

Application/Control Number: 10/020,762

Art Unit: 1774

copending Application No. SN 10/021,227. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims constitute the obvious method of using the article claimed in S.N. 10/021,227.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce Hess whose telephone number is (703) 308-2402. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9 Am to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on (703) 308-0449. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7115 for regular communications and (703) 308-7115 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

B. Hess/mn July 8, 2003

BRUCE H. HESS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Brus 9